IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

NIPPON SHINYAKU CO., LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAREPTA THERAPEUTICS, INC.,

Defendant.

SAREPTA THERAPEUTICS, INC. and THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN AUSTRALIA,

Defendant/Counter-Plaintiffs,

v.

NIPPON SHINYAKU CO., LTD. and NS PHARMA, INC.

Plaintiff/Counter-Defendants.

C.A. No. 21-1015 (JLH)

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF PARTIAL DISMISSAL

WHEREAS, the parties requested amendments to the pleadings seeking dismissal or partial dismissal of certain claims and defenses in their Proposed Amended Joint Pretrial Order (*see* D.I. 646 at ¶ 83; adopted at D.I. 679);

WHEREAS, the Court ordered the parties to provide a proposed order that disposes of such claims at the December 9, 2024 Pretrial Conference (Tr. 110:13-19);

WHEREAS, the "Wilton Patents" refer to U.S. Patent Nos. 9,994,851; 10,227,590; and 10,266,827;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by the parties, subject to the approval of the Court, that:

- 1. Nippon Shinyaku Co., Ltd.'s ("Nippon Shinyaku") following claims are dismissed with prejudice:
 - a. U.S. Patent No. 10,683,322, claims 1-4, 6-9 (Second Amended Complaint, Claim IX (D.I. 86); *see also* D.I. 498 (stipulating to partial dismissal with prejudice of remaining '322 patent claims);
 - b. U.S. Patent No. 10,385,092, claims 1 and 2 (partial dismissal of Second Amended Complaint, Claim IV (D.I. 86)); for reference, '092 Patent claim 3 was adjudicated by the jury (D.I. 699);
 - c. U.S. Patent No. 10,407,461, claim 1 and 2 (dismissal of Second Amended Complaint, Claim V (D.I. 86));
 - d. U.S. Patent No. 10,662,217, claims 1-4 (dismissal of Second Amended Complaint, Claim VIII (D.I. 86));
 - e. U.S. Patent No. 10,647,741, claims 1-12 (dismissal of Second Amended Complaint, Claim VII (D.I. 86));
 - f. U.S. Patent No. 10,487,106, claims 1 and 2 (dismissal of Second Amended Complaint, Claim VI (D.I. 86)); and
 - g. U.S. Patent No. 9,708,361, claims 1-7 (dismissal of Second Amended Complaint, Claim III (D.I. 86); see also D.I. 498 (stipulating to "dismissal with prejudice of Claim III (Infringement of the '361 Patent)")).
- 2. Sarepta Therapeutics, Inc.'s ("Sarepta") and the University of Western Australia's ("UWA") following claims are dismissed with prejudice:

- a. U.S. Patent No. 9,994,851, claim 2 (partial dismissal of Second Amended Answer, Defenses, and Counterclaims, Counterclaim I (D.I. 328)); for reference, '851 claim 1 was adjudicated by the jury (D.I. 699);
- U.S. Patent No. 10,227,590, claims 1-2 (dismissal of Second Amended Answer,
 Defenses, and Counterclaims, Counterclaim II (D.I. 328)); and
- c. U.S. Patent No. 10,266,827, claims 1-2 (dismissal of Second Amended Answer, Defenses, and Counterclaims, Counterclaim III (D.I. 328)).
- 3. Nippon Shinyaku's claim that the Wilton Patents are invalid (Second Amended Complaint, Claim II, ¶¶ 87-88 (D.I. 86)) is partially dismissed with prejudice as to Nippon Shinyaku's claim and Nippon Shinyaku's and NS Pharma Inc.'s ("NS Pharma") affirmative defense (Counter-Defendants' Answer to Counter-Plaintiffs' Amended Counterclaims, Second Affirmative Defense (D.I. 344)) that the Wilton Patents are invalid as to invalidity grounds other than the invalidity of claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 9,994,851 under 35 U.S.C. § 112. For clarity, the remainder of Nippon Shinyaku's Second Amended Complaint, Claim II, ¶¶ 87-91 (D.I. 86) and Nippon Shinyaku's and NS Pharma's Second Affirmative Defense (D.I. 344), regarding Nippon Shinyaku's claim that Wilton Patents are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 112, was adjudicated by the Court at claim construction and summary judgment (as to indefiniteness (D.I. 249, 541, 542)) and by the jury (as to written description and enablement (D.I. 699)).
- 4. Sarepta's Counterclaim IV and Fourth Affirmative Defense that the NS Patents are invalid (Second Amended Answer, Defenses, and Counterclaims, Counterclaim IV (D.I. 328), ¶¶ 76-82) is partially dismissed with prejudice as to invalidity grounds other than the invalidity of the '092 Patent, claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. § 103. For clarity, the remainder of Sarepta's Counterclaim IV and Fourth Affirmative Defense that the NS Patents are invalid (Second Amended Answer,

Defenses, and Counterclaims, Counterclaim IV (D.I. 328), ¶¶ 76-82), *i.e.*, the invalidity of the '092 Patent, claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. § 103, was adjudicated by the jury (D.I. 699).

- 5. Sarepta's breach of contract counterclaim (Second Amended Answer, Defenses, and Counterclaims, Counterclaim V, ¶¶ 83-95 (D.I. 328)) is dismissed with prejudice.
- 6. Sarepta's inequitable conduct counterclaim (Second Amended Answer, Defenses, and Counterclaims, Counterclaim IV, ¶¶ 96-97 (D.I. 328)) is dismissed with prejudice.

Dated: January 3, 2025

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

/s/ Amy M. Dudash

Amy M. Dudash (#5741) 1201 North Market Street, Suite 2201 Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 574-3000 amy.dudash@morganlewis.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

/s/ Megan E. Dellinger

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
Rodger D. Smith II (#3778)
Megan E. Dellinger (#5739)
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 658-9200
jblumenfeld@morrisnichols.com
rsmith@morrisnichols.com
mdellinger@morrisnichols.com

Attorneys for Defendants

SO ORDERED this 7th day of January , 2025.

The Honorable Jennifer L. Hall United States District Court Judge